



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1/1
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,716	10/09/2003	Kauko Jalmari Loukas		6679
7590	07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
Mr Kauko Loukas Kemintie 969 Ravaniemi, 96700 FINLAND			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,716	LOUKAS, KAUKO JALMARI	
	Examiner TUYEN T. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2832	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- In line 10, “the said spiral disk” should be corrected as –said spiral disk--.
- In lines 10-11, “the said invention” should be corrected as –the invention--.
- In line 12, “the said double spiral disk” should be corrected –said double spiral disk--.
- In line 15, “the said both bands” should be corrected –said both bands--.
- In line 16, “the said double spiral band” should be corrected –said double spiral band--.

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Throughout the claim, “the said” should be corrected –said--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, applicant should clarify what is intended by “a conducting and magnetizing double spiral made by *spooling an insulated and at the same time conducting and magnetizing thin band, it is two similar or not similar bands, into a double spiral disk or roll.*” Applicant should clarify the arrangement/structure/operation of the double spiral band as a capacitor-inductor. In lines 56, applicant claims “an electric current, mostly alternating current ...via two insulated electrodes.” In line 14, applicant claims “an alternating current from an electric source being connected on said electrodes.” Does applicant intend these two currents to be the same? Applicant should clarify. Claims 2-9 inherit the defects of the parent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1, as best understood in view of the rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Futa [US 5,410,289].

Futa disclose an electromagnet device comprising:

- a spiral coil [50] formed of insulator strip [52], magnetic strip [54] and conducting strip [56] wound to form a disk shape coil [figures 1-2];
- a housing [20];
- electrode terminals [70] disposed at both ends of the coil for connections; and
- insulation compound [80] and insulator [90] disposed around the coil.

Futa inherently discloses electric current [alternating current] supply to the device via electrode terminals so the electromagnet device can be functioning as capacitor-inductor.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN *TTN*

Taylyn T. Nguyen